

# FMS1203S: Randomness in scientific thinking

Week 4

## Hypothesis Testing

# Neyman-Pearson framework of hypothesis testing

## The hypothesis testing procedure

- ▶ Two competing hypotheses are formulated, one is called the null hypothesis denoted by  $H_0$ , the other is called the alternative hypothesis denoted by  $H_1$ .
- ▶ A test statistic  $T(X)$  is calculated from data  $X$  and a critical value  $c_\alpha$  is determined.
- ▶ The decision rule: if  $T(X) \geq c_\alpha$ , the null hypothesis  $H_0$  is rejected; otherwise  $H_0$  is not rejected (which does not mean that  $H_0$  is accepted or  $H_1$  is rejected).

# Neyman-Pearson framework of hypothesis testing

## Type I and Type II errors

- ▶ Two errors might occur in the decision:
  - ▶ Type I:  $H_0$  is indeed true but it is rejected;
  - ▶ Type II:  $H_0$  is false (i.e.  $H_1$  is true) but it is not rejected
- ▶ The two hypotheses are formulated in such a way that committing the Type I error is more serious than committing the Type II error.
- ▶ The critical value  $c_\alpha$  is determined such that the probability of committing the Type I error is controlled at a level  $\alpha$ .

# Neyman-Pearson framework of hypothesis testing

## Significance level and $p$ -value

- ▶ The significance level is the probability of Type I error:

$$P(T(X) \geq c_\alpha | H_0) \leq \alpha.$$

- ▶ If  $x$  is the observed value of  $X$ , the value  $P(T(X) \geq T(x) | H_0)$  is called the  $p$ -value, which is the smallest significance level at which  $H_0$  can be rejected based on the observed data.

## Power and sample size

- ▶ The power of a test at a particular parameter value under the alternative hypothesis is given by 1 minus the Type II error at that particular parameter value.
- ▶ While controlling the Type I error, to achieve a give power, a certain sample size is needed.

## Readings for next week

Group one: Salsburg, David (2001). *The Lady Tasting Tea: How Statistics Revolutionized Science in the Twentieth Century*, W.H. Freeman (Chapter 11, Hypothesis testing).

Group two: Copas, John (2005). The Downside of publication. *Significance*, 2(4), pp. 154–157.

Group three: Bland, M. and Altman, D. (2005). Do the left-handed die young? *Significance*, 2(4), pp. 166-170.

Group four: Bland, M. (2009). Keep young and beautiful: evidence for an "anti-aging" product? *Significance*, 6(4), pp. 182-183.

Group five: Cowan, G. (2009). Testing nature to the limit: the Large Hadron Collider *Significance*, 6(4), pp. 154-158.